

## JHMS0406 - Status of Manuscript

External  
Inbox



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org>

Sat, Sep 4, 2021,  
6:07 AM

to me

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Please find attached the results of the review for your manuscript JHMS0406: ***ISCHEMIC STROKE IN A YOUNG ADULT CAUSED BY TAKAYASU'S ARTERITIS : A CASE REPORT***

The reviewer has concluded to accept your article with major substantive revisions. Therefore, we suggest that you go through all of the points of concern, and send back the revisions by replying to this email. Please do not re-submit your revised article to our online system, as all correspondences will have to go through this email starting from now. To ensure rapid publication of your article, we suggest that this is completed within the timeframe of 4 weeks.

Regards,  
Editorial team

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

A small grey square icon containing the white letter 'M', likely representing the sender's initials.

**Muhammad Akbar** <akbar@med.unhas.ac.id> Thu, Sep 16, 2021, 1:32 PM

to Asian

Dear Editorial Team

Thank you for allowing us to revise our article for submission to your journal. We have corrected and modified the manuscript in accordance to the reviewer's suggestions.

Attached is the revised version of the manuscript.

We look forward to hearing back from you.

Warmest regards  
Muhammad Akbar

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

## JHMS0406 - (Update) State of Manuscript

External

Inbox



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org>

Sat, Sep 18, 2021,  
6:39 AM

to me

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Congratulations! The feedback on your submitted revised manuscript has been attained. As the paper revisions fulfill the threshold of reviewers, your manuscript can now be published. Before doing so, please read the following points carefully:

### 1. Published Paper

Your paper will be published in the **Journal of Health and Medical Sciences** (10-15 days after payment). If you would like to make any changes to the affiliations of the authors, please do so immediately and send back the file to us. Please ensure that you have sent the complete details of all the author's affiliations and email address if you wish your profile to be updated in the SSRN database. Your article will be prepared for publication in **Vol.4 No.3 September 2021**, with the article published online immediately after payment in the form of *E-Version first*.

### 2. Publication Payment

Please continue to conduct payment for the publication of your manuscript. The publication fee is USD 175 with the addition of 4% for the Paypal transaction fee, and must be completed within a week from this date. We accept payments through Paypal, in which you have the right to complete payment through your Paypal Account, or Debit/ Credit Card (if you do not have an account). Please find the online invoice to complete the payment:  
<https://www.paypal.com/invoice/p/#Z37KNS8TKDGSJF4N>

If Paypal is not available in your country, you may proceed with the payments via ([wise.com](http://wise.com)), to the following email: [editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org](mailto:editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org). The amount paid should be in USD currency.

### 3. Author Photo

Please send us a picture of the main author (please do not send pictures of other authors) so that we can publish your research on our website, complete with the author profile picture.

Regards,  
Editorial Team



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org>

Thu, Sep 23, 2021,  
10:17 AM

to me

RE: Recognition of Payment

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Thank you for completing the publication fee payment. We will now proceed with the publication process of your article. Proofs will be sent to you for approval in approximately 5-10 working days and we would like you to return your corrections within 2 days. Please let us know if there will be any difficulty in meeting this schedule. We will be sending proofs to you via this email.

Please note: only minor, or typographical changes can be introduced during typesetting and proofing of your manuscript. Major changes to your manuscript will not be permitted.

Regards,  
Editorial team



**Muhammad Akbar** <akbar@med.unhas.ac.id> Sat, Oct 9, 2021, 1:53 PM

to marhaemi52

## HMS0406 - Final Publication Draft AIOR

External  
Inbox



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org>

Thu, Sep 23, 2021,  
10:32 AM

to me

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Please find the attached file for the final draft of your manuscript. You are now given the right to make any final changes that you wish for the article, before we proceed to publish the article. If you plan to make changes, you may forward the revised file to this email. If you are satisfied with the final draft, please reply to this email stating that we can proceed with the publication. ***Please highlight the changes that you have made to the article, so we can identify whether the changes made are acceptable and to ensure it does not go against the publication policies and recommendations of the reviewers.***

**NB:** We will not facilitate any other changes to the article once you have agreed to publish the draft. The article will be directly processed for indexation and publication.

***Please further note that to be eligible for publication in the September 2021 issue, the final draft needs to be returned before 25 September 2021.***

Regards,  
Editorial Team

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

**M**

**Muhammad Akbar** <[akbar@med.unhas.ac.id](mailto:akbar@med.unhas.ac.id)> Fri, Sep 24, 2021, 10:56 AM

to Asian

Dear Editors,

Thank you for the opportunity to publish in the JHMS.

I have read and approved the draft, and I am happy to proceed with the publication.

Warmest regards  
M. Akbar



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<[editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org](mailto:editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org)>

Sun, Sep 26, 2021,  
6:17 AM

to me

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Thank you for the confirmation. We will now proceed with the publication of your article and will notify you once this process has been completed.

Regards,  
Editorial team

## Publication of Paper

External  
Inbox



**Asian Institute of Research**  
<editorial@asianinstituteofresearch.org>

Mon, Sep 27, 2021,  
8:00 AM

to me

Dear Muhammad Akbar,

Congratulations! We have successfully published your article on our website. Please find the following link to see the details: [click here](#)

**Feedback**

If you have the spare time, please give a review of your experience working with us! The form only takes 1-2 minutes to complete: <https://www.asianinstituteofresearch.org/feedback>

**Increase Citation**

To ensure the visibility of your published article on the web, we recommend you to upload your recently published article to **ResearchGate**, which is the social networking site for scientists and researchers to share papers, ask and answer questions, and find collaborators.

Thank you again for trusting us with your research. We look forward to cooperating with you again in the near future.

Regards,  
Editorial Team



Author: [undisclosed]

Affiliation: [undisclosed]

Title: ISCHEMIC STROKE IN A YOUNG ADULT CAUSED BY TAKAYASU'S ARTERITIS : A CASE REPORT

**MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM**

Journal of Health and Medical Sciences

Reviewer: [undisclosed]

**SECTION I:** General Assessment (*Please rate the manuscript based on your critical assessment, with a tick 'X' in the appropriate box below*)

| View of the Reviewers  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Does Not Apply |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| The Manuscript provides a contribution to the research area regarding a new finding, interpretation and/or point of view |   |   | X |   |                |
| The title of the manuscript is appropriate, and the title reflects the content of the manuscript                         |   |   |   | X |                |
| There is a balanced relationship between the objective, content, and the conclusion of the manuscript                    |   |   |   | X |                |
| The research methods and the data collection techniques are relevant to the objective and adequately explained           |   |   |   | X |                |
| The manuscript is precise and well-written   |   | X |   |   |                |
| The figures, tables and graphs are relevant.   |   |   | X |   |                |
| The references are adequate, convenient and up-to-date.  |   |   | X |   |                |
| The manuscript complies with academic ethical rules  |   |   | X |   |                |
| The aim/argument/hypothesis is clear and well-discussed.   |   |   | X |   |                |
| The results of the analysis are correctly interpreted  |   |   | X |   |                |
| The manuscript is free of issues of grammar, punctuation and such.   |   | X |   |   |                |

(1 = Poor) (2 =Fair) (3 = Good) (4 =Excellent)

**SECTION II:** Comments (*Please give your critical comments for the deficiencies of the manuscript*)

| Evaluation Criteria  | Comments   |
|--|--|
| <p><b>1. Thematic Focus and Empirical Grounding</b></p> <p>When considering the Thematic Focus and Empirical Grounding, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is this a topic that needs addressing?</li> <li>• Is the area investigated by the article: significant? Timely? Important? In need of addressing because it has been neglected? Intrinsically interesting? Filling a gap of current knowledge?</li> <li>• Are data collection processes, textual analyses of exegeses of practice sufficient and adequate to answer the research questions?</li> <li>• Does the article adequately document, acknowledge, and reference the existing findings, research, practices, and literature in its field?</li> <li>• Does the article relate in a coherent and cogent way with issues of real-world significance?</li> </ul> | <p>This is an interesting case report on a relatively rare disorder which would spark interest to clinicians with a background in neurology and cardiology. Although the disorder is not novel, there has not been a lot of documented cases in Indonesia.</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>2. Conceptual Model</b></p> <p>When considering the Conceptual Model, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the main concepts or categories appropriate to the investigation?</li> <li>• Should other concepts or categories have been considered?</li> <li>• Are key concepts adequately defined? Are they used consistently?</li> <li>• Does the article make necessary or appropriate connections with existing theory?</li> <li>• Does the article develop, apply, and test a coherent and cogent theoretical position or conceptual model?</li> </ul>   |   |
| <p><b>3. Explanatory Logic</b></p> <p>When considering the Explanatory Logic, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How effectively does the article reason from its empirical reference points?</li> <li>• Are the conclusions drawn from the data, texts, sources, or represented objects clear and insightful? Do they effectively advance the themes that the article sets out to address?</li> <li>• Does the article demonstrate a critical awareness of alternative or competing perspectives, approaches, and paradigms?</li> <li>• Is the author conscious of his or her own premises and perhaps the limitations of his or her perspectives and knowledge-making processes?</li> </ul>   | <p>The diagnostic flow has been established well in the case report. However, more discussion is needed to support the case presented.</p> <p>Additionally, the radiologic findings require in text elaboration. Currently, the imaging results are only described briefly in the captions. The authors will need to discuss the radiologic features in depth within the main text.</p>   |
| <p><b>4. Implications and Applications</b></p> <p>When considering the Implications and Applications, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the article demonstrate the direct or indirect applicability, relevance, or effectiveness of the practice or object it analyzes?</li> <li>• Are its implications practicable?</li> <li>• Are its recommendations realistic?</li> <li>• Does the article make an original contribution to knowledge?</li> <li>• To what extent does it break new intellectual ground?</li> <li>• Does it suggest innovative applications?</li> <li>• What are its prospects for broader applicability or appreciation?</li> <li>• How might its vision for the world be realized more widely?</li> </ul> | <p>The manuscript provides a good overview of the case presented, including realistic and practicable approaches to the clinical case.</p>  |
| <p><b>5. Quality of Communication</b></p> <p>When considering the Implications and Applications, please use the following prompts to guide your overall response and evaluation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the focus of the article clearly stated (for instance, the problem, issue, or object under investigation; the research question; or the theoretical problem)?</li> <li>• Does the article clearly express its case, measured against the standards of the technical language of its field and the reading capacities of audiences academic, tertiary student, and professional?</li> <li>• What is the standard of the writing, including spelling and grammar?</li> <li>• If necessary, please make specific suggestions or annotate errors in the text.</li> </ul>                           | <p>The article requires revisions in grammar and structure.</p> <p>Additionally, the article is not in compliance with the journal's author guidelines.</p> <p>There is a considerably high amount of similarity in the text as detected with the plagiarism checker. This needs to be corrected by the author prior to further consideration of this manuscript.</p> <p>Authors need to consider that the case management should be placed under the case presentation section, whilst the discussion should be reserved for the elaboration of relevant literature.</p> |

**SECTION III: Recommendation** (*Kindly mark with an 'X'*)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Accept the manuscript as submitted                                     |   |
| Accept the manuscript with minor editorial proofreading                |   |
| Accept the manuscript with minor substantive revisions                 |   |
| Accept the manuscript with major substantive revisions                 | X |
| Reject the manuscript because it does not fulfill the journal criteria |   |